

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,024	08/10/2006	Shinichi Nishida	1248-0891PUS1	4142
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 08/31/201 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/589,024	NISHIDA ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SRILAKSHMI K. KUMAR	2629				

	SRILAKSHMI K. KUMAR	2629					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 10 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the						
application, applicant must timely file one of the following							
application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe							
for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	within one of the follow	ving time				
periods:	data the feet deeper						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is 							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07().						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date							
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s							
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later	than three months after the mailing dat						
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	-						
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp							
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since				
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	thin the time period set forth in 37	SFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to			cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		E below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		I alam an almostificiam si	a lancan for				
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	ler form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ie issues ioi				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		otou diamio.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		- ipinani i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	orabio ii oabiiiitoa iii a oopaiato, t	milety med amendment	it cancerning the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	will not be entered, or b) 🔲 will	be entered and an ex	planation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provi	ided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2-7 and 9-14.</u> Claim(s) rejected: 1, 8, 15, 16, 18, 20.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Appeal will not	be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and							
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing							
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o							
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	DTO/CR/09) Poper No(e)						
	F10/36/06) Fapel No(s)						
13. Other:							
	/Srilakshmi K Kumar/						
	Primary Examiner						
	Art Unit: 2629						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: With respect to the proposed amendments, specifically, the proposed amendment to claim 15 changes the scope of the claim. Therefore, with respect to claim 15, 18 & 20, further search and consideration is required. With respect to calims 1, 3-8, 10-14, the proposed amendments to these claims would be allowable. Further, with respect to claim 20, applicant indicates that pages 21-23 of the specification teach the computer readable recording medium as including ROM, RAM, removable storage medium, hard disk, et. However, the claim claims "a computer readable recording medium" and the specification to describe 3 computer program storage medium". These are two different things. As there is no mention of the computer readable recording medium, examiner suggests amending the specification to describe 4 in selement.